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Disclosure

The views and opinions expressed during this presentation are solely those of the presenter and not the HHS Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response or the U.S. Government.
America’s Threats

- The U.S. is vulnerable to both natural hazards and human threats
- Floods, Fires, Winter Storms
- Since 2000, there have been over 2,100 major disaster declarations

Source: www.suffolkcountyny.gov
Source: www.terain.org
Source: www.suffolkcountyny.gov
America’s Threats

- Notable Disasters
  - September 11, 2001 - Terrorist Attacks
  - Anthrax Attacks (2001)
  - Hurricane Katrina (2005)
  - Superstorm Sandy (2012)

Source: www.factslides.com
Source: www.ncronline.com
Emerging Infectious Disease

- In the 21st century, emerging infectious disease responses have taken priority to other planning efforts.
Nuclear Preparedness: A Thing of the Past

- Minimal experience with nuclear and radiological incidents
  - World War II
  - Cold War Era
Nuclear Preparedness: A Thing of the Past

- The U.S. Civil Defense Program
  - Public Awareness
  - Community preparedness
  - Training for “nuclear attack”

Source: www.hastac.org
Source: www.en.wikipedia.org
Nuclear Disasters
An Impetus for Awareness and Preparedness

Three Mile Island Nuclear Generating Station (March 28, 1979)

- “One of the most significant accidents in U.S. commercial nuclear power plant history”
- Cooling system malfunction
- Partial meltdown of the reactor core
- Release of radioactive krypton-85 gas and iodine-131 into surrounding environment
- No deaths, injuries, or adverse health effects
- Resulted in stricter regulations and States and localities conducting new planning efforts

Source: www.epa.gov

Source: www.darkroom.baltimoresun.com
Nuclear Disasters
An Impetus for Awareness and Preparedness

- Chernobyl Nuclear Power Station (April 26, 1986)
  - Human-caused
  - Explosion, fire, and meltdown released massive quantity of radioactive material over Northern and Eastern Europe
  - Sheltering in place vs. evacuation
  - Large-scale displacement of residents
  - Monitor long-term health effects
  - Environmental clean-up

Source: www.focc.org.uk
Nuclear Disasters
An Impetus for Awareness and Preparedness

- Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant (March 11, 2011)
  - Earthquake/Tsunami
  - Meltdown of 3 nuclear reactors
  - Hydrogen-air chemical explosions and release of radioactive material

Source: www.sites.suffolk.edu
Nuclear Disasters
An Impetus for Awareness and Preparedness

- **Response Challenges**
  - Contain the threat and prevent further damage
  - Determine appropriate evacuation and exposure zones
  - Determine where to house evacuees
  - Reuniting displaced family members
  - Procuring safe food and water
  - Evaluating and treating the contaminated and the injured
Fukushima: A Threat to the U.S.?

- International differences in planning and response paradigms

- Potential threats to the U.S.
  - Contaminated passengers traveling on commercial airliners
  - Importation of contaminated food and other products
  - Air, ocean, and rain water contamination of the Pacific Ocean and U.S. west coast cities and potential effects on human and animal health

- Confusing public messaging
  - Fear of inappropriate hoarding and use of KI
  - Worried well
Radiological Terrorism: The Other Threat

- Following the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 and the subsequent anthrax attacks, preparing the nation for incidents involving WMD became a priority.

weapon of mass destruction

noun
plural noun: weapons of mass destruction

A chemical, biological or radioactive weapon capable of causing widespread death and destruction.
“The central focus of this nuclear summit is the fact that the single biggest threat to U.S. security, both short term, medium term and long term, would be the possibility of a terrorist organization obtaining a nuclear weapon.”

President Obama
2010 Nuclear Security Summit
Washington, DC
April 15, 2013
- Two homemade bombs
- Detonated 10 seconds apart near the finish line
- 3 dead, several hundred injured
- Fear spread throughout the city
- Initial concerns of a radioactive dispersal device
- Renewed need for preparedness for radiological terrorism

Source: www.newsweek.com
A Whole of Community Approach to Planning

- The Federal Government has accomplished much in disaster preparedness, especially for nuclear detonations
  - Response plans and paradigms
  - Situational awareness tools
  - Personal protective guidance
  - Treatment guidance for health care professionals
  - Pre-scripted risk communication messages
  - Exercises and drills
A Whole of Community Approach to Planning

SLTT Preparedness Planning

- CDC Public Health Emergency Preparedness Grant Program (PHEP)
- ASPR Hospital Preparedness Grant Program (HPP)
- DHS Homeland Security Grant Program
  - THIRA
  - Build and enhance preparedness and response capabilities
  - Drills and exercises
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Public Health and Healthcare Planning and Response Gaps

- ProPublica-”U.S. Health Care System Unprepared for Major Nuclear Emergency”
  - “The nation’s health system is ill-prepared to cope with a catastrophic release of radiation, despite years of focus on the possibility of a terrorist “dirty bomb” or an improvised nuclear attack”
  - Medical personnel felt untrained and inexperienced to deal with radiological and nuclear disasters
  - Fears about radiation exposure could impact their willingness to report to work
  - Drills and exercises occur infrequently and lack value
Public Health and Healthcare Planning and Response Gaps

- NASEM Workshop-January 2014
  - Nationwide Response Issues After an Improvised Nuclear Device Attack
  - Key challenges in U.S. preparedness for radiological and nuclear incidents

Source: www.nap.edu
Radiological & Nuclear Preparedness and Response Challenges

- Establish command and control
- Address the fears of the public and emergency responders
- Provide timely and accurate risk communication
- Educate the public on mass evacuation and shelter-in-place
- Enhance public health and healthcare sector preparedness
Public Health and Healthcare Capability Challenges

- Provide ready access to point of care diagnostics
- Establish rapid decontamination procedures
- Administer timely life-saving medical countermeasures and other clinical treatments
- Develop appropriate procedures to handle the deceased

Source: www.uk.pinterest.com
Source: www.bmj2.com
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Public Health and Healthcare Capability Challenges

- Employ robust surveillance systems to monitor acute and long-term health effects of radiation illness
- Establish evidence-informed guidelines for environmental clean-up and repatriation of the contaminated area
- Address short and long-term mental and behavioral health impacts

Source: www.designboom.com
Source: www.shponlin.co.uk
United States Joint External Evaluation

- Comprehensive all-hazards assessment of public health emergency “core capacities” required by the IHR.
  - Prevent emergencies through responsible actions and mitigation of hazards
  - Detect the earliest indicators of an emerging/re-emerging risk and coordinate assessment with other sectors
  - Respond quickly to reduce impacts and notify international stakeholders of a potential threat

Resilient People. Healthy Communities. A Nation Prepared.
United States Joint External Evaluation

- 23 U.S. agencies and 15 international subject matter experts
- Met May 23-27, 2016 to review the U.S. core capacities, including those needed to handle radiation emergencies
  - Gaps identified that require subsequent action
  - Radiation core capacities scored among the lowest
JEE Radiation Emergency Preparedness
Findings

- Mechanisms are established and functioning for detecting and responding to radiological and nuclear emergencies
  - Lack of surveillance guidelines/SOPs at all levels
  - Weak information exchange among health clinics, health departments, and federal government following radiation exposure
  - Poor coordination of post-exposure care
JEE Radiation Emergency Preparedness
Findings

- Enabling environment is in place for management of radiation emergencies
  - Lack of radiation emergency response plans at all levels
  - Weak plans for handling waste and disposal
  - Absence of response exercises to test communication and coordination
  - Too few radiation professionals at all levels, and too few being trained
Strengthening the Nation’s Resilience to Radiological and Nuclear Disasters

- Build Partnerships for Planning
  - Planning should be integrated at all levels and not be done in a silo
  - Strengthen planning efforts between Federal, State, Local, Tribal, and Territorial partners
  - Include private sector and academic partners, especially radiation professionals
  - Utilize the knowledge, practices, protocols, and tools that exist before developing new ones
Strengthening the Nation’s Resilience to Radiological and Nuclear Disasters

- Conduct Routine Drills and Exercises
  - Don’t conduct drills and exercises in a silo
  - Include as many partners as possible (e.g., radiation professionals)
  - Incorporate radiological and nuclear specific capabilities into all-hazards drills and exercises
  - Generously share findings from After Action Reports with partners to expand learning
Strengthening the Nation’s Resilience to Radiological and Nuclear Disasters

- Advance the Science of Radiological and Nuclear Disasters
  - Radiation incidents are unique opportunities to address critical knowledge gaps that cannot be replicated outside an emergency without endangering lives and the environment
  - Research during a disaster response is vital to guide planning and best practices
  - Research topics include:
    - Efficacy of medical countermeasures
    - Time course of interventions
    - Short, intermediate, and long-term health effects (e.g., environmental contamination of food and water supply)
Strengthening the Nation’s Resilience to Radiological and Nuclear Disasters

- Expand Education and Training Opportunities
  - Beyond traditional “first responder” community
  - Include radiation professionals, elected officials, healthcare workers, and the general public
  - Increase understanding of radiation effects and protective measures
In Summary

- We’ve done a lot of work, but there is still more to be done.
- Our challenges are not insurmountable and can be addressed by building collaborative partnerships, conducting radiological and nuclear specific drills and exercises, advancing the science and evidence base before, during, and after incidents, and expanding training and education opportunities.
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