

Contents

Preface	iii
1. Executive Summary	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Review of Epidemiologic Studies Relevant to a Radiation-Related Sex-Specific Difference in Lung Cancer Risk	1
1.3 Biological Plausibility of a Sex-Specific Difference in Radiation-Related Lung Cancer Risk	2
1.4 Animal Studies Relevant to a Radiation-Induced Sex-Specific Difference in Lung Cancer Risk	3
1.5 Current NASA Modeling Approaches	3
1.6 Proposed Bayesian Meta-Analysis Approach for Combining Results from Epidemiologic Studies	4
1.7 Recommendations	6
1.7.1 Epidemiologic Studies of Lung Cancer Risk and Female-Male Differences	6
1.7.2 Biological Data and Animal Experiments to Assess Female-Male Differences in Lung Cancer Risk	6
1.7.3 Modeling Lung Cancer Risk Projections for Astronauts	6
2. Introduction	8
2.1 Role of NCRP Radiation Protection Guidance to NASA	9
2.2 Importance of Lung Cancer Sex Dependence on Lifetime Risk Projection Models	9
2.3 Brief Description of the Parameters Used in the Lifetime Risk Projection Model	10
2.4 Description of the Astronaut Cohort	10
2.4.1 Health Monitoring of Astronauts	12
2.4.2 Epidemiologic Studies of Astronauts	12
2.5 Specific Issues to be Addressed	12
2.5.1 What Epidemiologic Cohorts are Most Relevant to Estimate Sex- Specific Lung Cancer Rates for an Astronaut Flight Crew	13
2.5.2 Approaches to Consider for Improving the NASA Lifetime Risk Projection Model for Lung Cancer	14
3. Epidemiology	15
3.1 Epidemiologic Studies of Lung Cancer with a Focus on Nonsmokers	15
3.1.1 Risk Factors	18
3.1.1.1 Ionizing Radiation	18
3.1.1.2 Cigarette Smoking	19
3.1.1.3 Workplace and Environmental Exposures	19
3.1.1.4 Host Factors	20
3.1.1.5 Hormones	21
3.1.2 Radiation and Histopathologic Subtypes	21

3.2	Epidemiologic Studies of Sex-Specific Radiation-Related Lung Cancer	23
3.2.1	Medical Exposure of Patients	32
3.2.1.1	Canadian Tuberculosis-Fluoroscopy Study	32
3.2.1.2	Massachusetts Tuberculosis-Fluoroscopy Study	32
3.2.1.3	Hodgkin Lymphoma Patients	32
3.2.1.4	Radiation Therapy for Peptic Ulcer	32
3.2.2	Occupational Exposure of Workers	37
3.2.2.1	Mayak Production Association	37
3.2.2.2	15-Country Study	38
3.2.2.3	Los Alamos National Laboratory	38
3.2.2.4	Tennessee Eastman Corporation	38
3.2.2.5	U.S. Nuclear Power Plant Workers	39
3.2.2.6	U.S. Industrial Radiographers	39
3.2.2.7	U.S. Medical Radiation Workers	39
3.2.2.8	Mound Nuclear Facility	40
3.2.2.9	Hanford Site	40
3.2.2.10	Pooled Analysis of Canadian and German Uranium Processors	40
3.2.2.11	U.S. Radiological Technologists Study	40
3.2.2.12	Commercial Airline Crews	41
3.2.3	Environmental Exposure of Populations (indoor radon studies)	41
3.2.3.1	Indoor Radon Studies (China)	41
3.2.3.2	Indoor Radon Studies (Europe)	42
3.2.3.3	Indoor Radon Studies (North America)	42
3.2.3.4	Indoor Radon Studies (Northwest Spain)	42
3.2.3.5	Indoor Radon Studies (meta-analysis of nonsmokers)	42
3.2.4	The Japanese Atomic-Bomb Survivors	43
3.3	Other Epidemiologic Studies of Radiation-Related Lung Cancer	43
3.3.1	Medical Exposure of Patients (U.S. Scoliosis Study)	44
3.3.2	Occupational Exposure of Workers	44
3.3.2.1	U.K. National Registry for Radiation Workers	44
3.3.2.2	International Nuclear Workers Study	45
3.3.2.3	Sellafield (U.K. Plutonium Production)	45
3.3.2.4	Rocketdyne (Atomics International)	45
3.3.2.5	Mallinckrodt Chemical Works	46
3.3.2.6	Linde Air Products Company Ceramics Plant	46
3.3.2.7	Rocky Flats Nuclear Weapons Plant	46
3.3.2.8	Fernald Feed Materials Production Center	47
3.3.2.9	Savannah River Site	47
3.3.3	Environmental Exposure of Populations (Techa River Resident Cohort)	47
3.3.4	Nuclear Weapons Test Participants (Atomic Veterans)	48
3.4	Summary and Conclusions	48
3.4.1	Sex Differences in Lung Cancer Risk in Epidemiologic Studies of Radiation-Exposed Populations	48
3.4.2	Other Epidemiologic Studies of Lung Cancer Risk in Radiation-Exposed Populations that Inform Lifetime Risk Estimates	49
3.4.3	Considerations for Further Epidemiologic Studies	49

4. Biological Issues of Lung Cancer and Potential Differences Between Males and Females	50
4.1 Histopathology and Molecular Pathology of Radiation-Induced Lung Cancer . .	50
4.1.1 Histopathology of Radiation-Induced Lung Cancer	50
4.1.2 Molecular Pathology	51
4.2 Plausible Mechanisms that Would Lead to a Greater Risk in Females	52
4.2.1 Mechanism 1. Hormonal Differences Between Males and Females	52
4.2.1.1 Estrogen Promotes Cell Proliferation and is Pro-Inflammatory	52
4.2.1.2 Clinical Evidence for the Role of Estrogen in Lung Cancer . .	53
4.2.1.3 Animal Studies on the Role of Estrogen	54
4.2.2 Mechanism 2. The Increased Risk from Radiation Exposure Arises Predominantly from One Lung Cancer Subtype (or a Limited Set of Subtypes) and Females have a Higher Background Rate for that Subtype	54
4.2.3 Mechanism 3. Chromosomal and Background Gene Expression Differences	57
4.2.4 Mechanism 4. Sex Differences in Immune Function (and Relationship to Radiogenic Lung Cancers)	57
4.3 Conclusion	59
4.4 Research Areas to Assess Potential Differences in Radiation-Induced Lung Cancer Between Males and Females	59
5. Animal Experiments Relevant to Sex-Specific Differences in Lung Cancer Radiation Risk	60
5.1 Sex Differences in Radiogenic Lung Cancer Risks in Conventional Laboratory Mice and Rats	60
5.2 Radiation Quality and Dose-Rate Effects	63
5.3 Conclusion	67
5.4 Research Areas to Assess Potential Differences in Radiation-Induced Lung Cancer Between Males and Females	68
6. Evaluation of Current NASA Modeling Approaches	69
6.1 Introduction	69
6.2 NASA Lifetime Risk Projection Model for Solid Cancer	69
6.3 Risk Transport	71
6.4 Excess Relative Risk and Excess Absolute Risk Models	71
6.5 Dose and Dose-Rate Effectiveness Factor	72
6.6 Baseline Rates	72
6.7 Risk Projections for Nonsmokers	73
6.8 Cancer Risks and Radiation Quality	75
6.9 Integrated Model	75
6.10 NASA Treatment of Uncertainties	76
6.11 Summary and Conclusions	77
7. Alternative Approaches for Modeling Risks	79
7.1 Introduction	79
7.2 Issues in the Development of Useful Risk Models	79
7.2.1 ERR and EAR Models for Source-Population Radiation Effects	79
7.2.1.1 Lifespan Study Lung Cancer Mortality 1958 to 2009	80

7.2.1.2	Mayak Lung Cancer Mortality 1950 to 2015	81
7.2.2	Smoking Adjustment and Lifetime Risk Estimates	86
7.2.2.1	Smoking Adjustment in the LSS and Lifetime Risk Estimates	86
7.2.2.2	Smoking Adjustment in the Mayak Worker Cohort and Lifetime Risk Estimates	89
7.2.3	Source-Population Radiation-Effect Modeling and Model Selection Issues	91
7.3	Risk Models for Other Populations for Use in Bayesian Meta-Analysis	94
7.3.1	MWS Cohort Models and REID Estimates	94
7.3.1.1	MWS Pooled Cohort Mortality Unadjusted for Smoking	94
7.3.1.2	MWS Los Alamos National Laboratory Cohort Mortality Unadjusted for Smoking	94
7.3.2	NRRW Incidence Models	95
7.4	Methodology for Combining Information from Selected Studies	99
7.4.1	Models for Combining Study-Specific Estimates of Excess Risk	105
7.4.1.1	Fixed-Effects Type Model	106
7.4.1.2	Random-Effects Type Model	107
7.4.2	Bayesian Meta-Analysis	108
7.4.3	Results	109
7.4.3.1	Are Lung Cancer Risks from Chronic Low-LET Radiation Exposures Greater for Females than Males?	110
7.4.3.2	What are the ERRs for Females and Males from Chronic Exposure to Low-LET radiation?	115
7.4.3.3	What are the Lifetime Risks for Females and Males from Chronic Exposure to Low-LET Radiation?	115
7.4.4	Limitations of Meta-Analysis Associated with the Selection of Studies	115
7.5	Summary and Conclusions	119
8.	Recommendations	122
8.1	Epidemiologic Studies of Lung Cancer Risk and Female-Male Differences	122
8.2	Biological Data and Animal Experiments to Assess Female-Male Differences in Lung Cancer Risk	122
8.3	Modeling Lung Cancer Risk Projections for Astronauts	123
Appendix A.	WinBUGS Code and Convergence Criteria	124
A.1	WinBUGS Code	124
A.2	Convergence Diagnostic Plots	126
Abbreviations, Acronyms and Symbols		129
Glossary		131
References		135
Scientific Committee		154
The NCRP		159
NCRP Publications		168